The insurance Federation of Pennsylvania, Inc.

-- -------

1600 Market Street Suite 1520 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tel: (215) 665-0500 Fax: (215) 665-0540

REOSI/ED

- - - -----

99 APR -5 PM 5:01

April 5, 1999 REVIEW COMMISSION

Richard A. Himler, Director Bureau of Worker's Compensation Department of Labor and Industry 1171 South Cameron Street Harrisburg, PA 17104 ORIGINAL: 1978 MCGINELY COPIES: Coccodrilli Wilmarth Sandusky Legal

Re: Final-Form Rulemaking - Workers' Compensation Special Fund Assessments

Dear Mr. Himler:

This is to support the final-form regulation submitted by the Department of Labor and Industry implementing Act 57 of 1997, whereby the assessments for the three special workers compensation funds were changed from being on insurers, to being collected through insurers on bahalf of employers.

Our support is made on behalf of not only the Insurance Federation, but also our national counterparts, the American Insurance Association, the Alliance of American Insurers and the National Association of Independent Insurers.

We support the regulation as being the most efficient and practical means of implementing Act 57. The Department has addressed the concerns raised with respect to its original proposal by the rating bureaus and the trade associations; the resulting changes will enable these assessments to be collected with minimal administrative cost and in amounts that best reflect the true cost to employers.

We understand that several insurers have belatedly filed objections to the final-form regulation. They contend that the Department has gone beyond its statutory authority by basing assessments on an earned premium rather than a compensation-paid system.

Robert E. Chappell Chairman Sarah H. Lawhorne Vice Chairman Henry G. Hager President & Chief Executive Officer Samuel R. Marshall President Elect John R. Doubman Secretary & Counsel Marybeth H. Dob Treasurer Birchard T. Clothier Investment Officer & Assistant Treasurer Jeffrey D. Sharp Director of **Government Affairs**

April 5, 1999 Page two

I am not sure why these objections were not raised earlier in the IRRC's review. Notably, the insurers complaining of the regulation and the change in the assessment formula knew of all this when the regulation was proposed (or at least knew of it when they filed their administrative actions complaining about the change), so they cannot claim surprise.

In any event, the objections to the change in the assessment formula obscure several points.

First, these should be objections of employers, not insurers. As the complaining insurers note, these assessments will be paid by employers, not insurers - regardless of the formula. While these insurers have noted that it is employers who will pay these assessments, however, they have never contended that they are raising these objections on behalf of any employerpolicyholder - either here or in their administrative challenges.

Second, the complaining insurers argue that the Department has no authority to make any change in the formula used to collect these assessments. They suggest that these assessments were always imposed on employers, with Act 57 being only a change of semantics to avoid retaliatory taxes from other states on insurers domiciled in Pennsylvania. Granted, any cost on an insurer is ultimately borne by its policyholders. But Act 57 was more than a semantic change: It switched the assessments from being on insurers to being on employers through insurers.

As such, the Department has not only the authority, but the responsibility, to develop a formula to best reflect this switch. The earned premium formula does this. It is more timely for employers than the compensation-paid method: It reflects employers' costs from the previous year, whereas the compensation-paid formula goes back several years.

The complaining insurers suggest that other formulas could better reflect the switch (although they contend the Department could not implement those formulas, either). Perhaps - although probably not with the same efficiency as the formula proposed by the Department in this regulation.

The complaining insurers also argue that the regulation should not be given retroactive effect. Act 57, however, took effect on July 1, 1998, and all other insurers have been paying the assessments for the special funds consistent with the formula in this regulation. The retroactivity in this regulation simply matches what has already happened; without it, the special funds might not get any assessments - hardly the General Assembly's purpose in enacting Act 57. April 5, 1999 Page three

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this regulation. We hope the IRRC approves it on April 8.

- - ----

-- -

Sincerely,

Server Marlay

Samuel R. Marshall

c: Robert E. Nyce, Executive Director Fiona E. Wilmarth, Regulatory Analyst Independent Regulatory Review Commission

FAX

INSURANC FEDERATION OF PA **1600 MARKET STREET SUITE 1520** PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

.

Date Number of pages including cover sheet To: F

Fim Witnerth	From:	Nordall
Phone	Phone	215-665-0500
Fax Phone	Fax Phone	215-665-0540
IARKS:		

Please comment	PECEIVED 99 APR -5 PM 5: 00
(ASAP	
🗋 Rej	
ur review	
For yo	
	-
Urgent	
REMARKS:	

.